

Doc Code: M865 or FAI.REQ.INTV

PTOL-413A (08-10)  
Approved for use through 07/31/2012. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**

Application No.: 10/561,062-Conf. #6131 First Named Applicant: Davy Jacops  
 Examiner: K. C. Verdi Art Unit: 2194 Status of Application: Published

**Tentative Participants:**

(1) Elisabeth H. Hunt (2) James H. Morris  
 (3) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Date of Interview: February 16, 2011 Proposed Time: 1:00 PM (AM/PM)

**Type of Interview Requested:**

(1)  Telephonic (2)  Personal (3)  Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:  YES  NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Issues To Be Discussed**

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej. § 112</u>	<u>Claims 1, 14, 17, 22</u>	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rej. § 103</u>	<u>All independent claims</u>	<u>Comeau</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Continuation Sheet Attached

Proposed Amendment or Arguments Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: \_\_\_\_\_

An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on 3/2/2011

**NOTE:** This form should be completed and filed by applicant in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). If this form is signed by a registered practitioner not of record, the Office will accept this as an indication that he or she is authorized to conduct an interview on behalf of the principal (37 CFR 1.32(a)(3)) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.34. This is not a power of attorney to any above named practitioner. See the Instruction Sheet for this form, which is incorporated by reference. By signing this form, applicant or practitioner is certifying that he or she has read the Instruction Sheet. After the interview is conducted, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview.

/KimbleAnn C. Verdi/

Elisabeth H. Hunt  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner/SPE Signature

Elisabeth H. Hunt  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

67,336  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Registration Number, if applicable

Docket No.: S1022.71021US00  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Davy Jacobs et al.  
Serial No.: 10/561,082  
Confirmation No.: 6131  
Filed: January 29, 2007  
For: CUSTOMER FRAMEWORK FOR EMBEDDED  
APPLICATIONS  
Examiner: K. C. Verdi  
Art Unit: 2194

**PROPOSED INTERVIEW AGENDA**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants' representatives James H. Morris and Elisabeth H. Hunt thank Examiner Verdi for agreeing to conduct a telephone interview to discuss the outstanding Office Action dated December 10, 2010. The interview has been scheduled for the following date and time:

Wednesday, February 16, 2011, at 1:00 p.m.

During the telephone interview, Applicants' representatives would like to discuss the claims and the rejections as set forth in the outstanding Office Action, to develop a common understanding of the interpretation of the claims and the cited art.

For example, Applicants' representatives would like to discuss the following points:

- ❖ At pages 3-5, the outstanding Office Action repeats the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 that were set forth in the previous Office Action dated May 12, 2010. During the telephone interview, Applicants' representatives seek clarification as to why the Examiner believes

2230016.2

Application No.: 10/561,082

2

Docket No.: S1022.71021US00

that the amendments made in Applicants' response filed August 10, 2010, did not overcome these rejections.

- ❖ At page 8, the outstanding Office Action asserts that "OS tasks normally run at a higher priority than other tasks in a multi-tasking, pre-emptive RTOS like from Wind River Systems". During the interview, Applicants' representatives seek clarification as to how the Examiner believes this statement to be supported in the art of record.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding this proposed interview agenda, she is invited to call the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Dated: 2/7/11

Respectfully submitted,

By Elisabeth Hunt  
James H. Morris  
Registration No.: 34,681  
Elisabeth H. Hunt  
Registration No.: 67,336  
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.  
600 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206  
617.646.8000

2230016.2